Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,104	DOSHI, RUTVIK
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cheryl Lewis	2167
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Cheryl Lewis</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Hean Koo</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>22 October 2008</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>39, 49, and 59</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Lewis and the applicant's representative, Hean Koo, discussed the claim limitations. Examiner Lewis requested the applicant consider including "an insider component" and "an interceptor component" to the limitations of independent claims 39, 49, and 59. The applicant agreed to the Examiner's proposal and submitted an amendment to independent claims 39, 49, and 59. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
/Cheryl Lewis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2167		